



Higher Education
Quality Council
of Ontario

An agency of the Government of Ontario

Development of Analytic Rubrics for Competency Assessment Appendix

Gayle Lesmond, Susan McCahan
and David Beach, University of Toronto



Published by

The Higher Education Quality Council of Ontario

1 Yonge Street, Suite 2402
Toronto, ON Canada, M5E 1E5

Phone: (416) 212-3893
Fax: (416) 212-3899
Web: www.heqco.ca
E-mail: info@heqco.ca

Cite this publication in the following format:

Lesmond, G., McCahan, S., Beach, D. (2017) *Development of Analytic Rubrics for Competency Assessment Appendix*. Toronto: Higher Education Quality Council of Ontario.



The opinions expressed in this research document are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views or official policies of the Higher Education Quality Council of Ontario or other agencies or organizations that may have provided support, financial or otherwise, for this project. © Queens Printer for Ontario, 2017

Appendix A

Appendix A contains the rubrics for design, communication and teamwork. These indicators were compiled from the literature and then modified and added through a consultation process. After a draft of the descriptors was developed, the rubrics were tested and modifications were made to the descriptors based on the feedback

Final design rubric

Indicator	Fails		Below	Meets	Exceeds
	<i>Not Demonstrated</i>	<i>Misconception</i>			
D1 Find and state an engineering design problem					
D1A Identify an appropriate opportunity or problem for an engineering design project	<input type="checkbox"/> Opportunity or problem is not identified	<input type="checkbox"/> Opportunity or problem is not appropriate for an engineering design project (e.g., due to misconception of engineering design as a well-defined problem with one correct answer)	<input type="checkbox"/> Opportunity or problem is minimally appropriate for an engineering design project (i.e., evidence of some understanding of the nature of engineering design activities)	<input type="checkbox"/> Opportunity or problem is appropriate for an engineering design project	<input type="checkbox"/> Opportunity or problem is unique and ideal for an engineering design project (e.g., industry is "ripe for disruption" problem is challenging but feasible for student design team)
D1B Accurately state the engineering design problem and summarize relevant details (interpret a problem statement if provided)	<input type="checkbox"/> Does not state the engineering design problem <input type="checkbox"/> Does not provide details	<input type="checkbox"/> States the engineering design problem with significant inaccuracies (e.g., misinterprets the problem) <input type="checkbox"/> Includes irrelevant details	<input type="checkbox"/> States the engineering design problem with some inaccuracies <input type="checkbox"/> Details are incomplete or unclear	<input type="checkbox"/> States the engineering design problem accurately <input type="checkbox"/> Summarizes relevant details	<input type="checkbox"/> States the engineering design problem precisely and comprehensively <input type="checkbox"/> Summarizes key details with depth and nuance

Indicator	Fails		Below	Meets	Exceeds
	Not Demonstrated	Misconception			
D1C Describe a suitable design process or design philosophy	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Does not describe a design process or design philosophy 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Describes an unsuitable design process or design philosophy (e.g., treats design task as a well-defined, straight-forward problem) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Describes a somewhat suitable design process or design philosophy (e.g., design philosophy is unsophisticated, omits a key concept or feature) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Describes a suitable design process or design philosophy 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Describes an exemplary design process or design philosophy (e.g., synthesizes credible sources to define a unique design process suited to the context)
D2 Gather information to understand an engineering design problem					
D2A Stakeholders: - Identify relevant stakeholders - Accurately describe characteristics, perspectives, and needs - Provide appropriate justification for stakeholder identification	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Does not identify stakeholders <input type="checkbox"/> Descriptions are not provided <input type="checkbox"/> Justification is not provided 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Identifies irrelevant stakeholders <input type="checkbox"/> Descriptions are inaccurate (e.g., misrepresentative or disrespectful) <input type="checkbox"/> Justification is inappropriate 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Identifies some relevant stakeholders (may underestimate project's reach) <input type="checkbox"/> Descriptions are superficial <input type="checkbox"/> Justification is incomplete or vague (unconvincing) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Identifies relevant stakeholders <input type="checkbox"/> Describes stakeholders accurately <input type="checkbox"/> Justifies stakeholder identification appropriately (e.g., based on interest in or influence on the project) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Meets+ <input type="checkbox"/> Identifies stakeholders with any direct or indirect connection to the project <input type="checkbox"/> Descriptions are informed and empathetic <input type="checkbox"/> Uses evidence to rank/prioritize stakeholders
D2B Identify and describe engineering design priorities and/or social and professional concerns relevant to the problem (i.e., Design for X, client ethics and values)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Does not identify engineering design priorities or concerns <input type="checkbox"/> Descriptions not provided 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Identifies irrelevant concerns and/or excludes essentials (e.g., safety, cost) <input type="checkbox"/> Descriptions are erroneous 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Critical gaps in design priorities or concerns indicate an underestimation of the project's impact <input type="checkbox"/> Descriptions are superficial and not specific to the engineering design problem 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Identifies expected engineering design priorities or concerns <input type="checkbox"/> Descriptions relate to the specific engineering design problem 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Identifies both expected elements of engineering design and specific, novel elements that suit the problem <input type="checkbox"/> Descriptions are insightful

Indicator	Fails		Below	Meets	Exceeds
	<i>Not Demonstrated</i>	<i>Misconception</i>			
D2C Integrate information from stakeholders and other appropriate sources to enhance understanding of the problem	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> No sources provided <input type="checkbox"/> No information integrated <input type="checkbox"/> No change in understanding of the problem 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Sources are inappropriate <input type="checkbox"/> Information is presented separately/unconnected <input type="checkbox"/> Misunderstandings or unidentified gaps in knowledge will prevent successful problem framing 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Sources are minimally appropriate <input type="checkbox"/> Some additional information is integrated (addresses some gaps in problem statement) <input type="checkbox"/> Understanding is insufficient and will limit problem framing 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Sources are appropriate (i.e., reliable, diverse, credible) <input type="checkbox"/> Information is integrated with existing knowledge of problem and stakeholder interests/concerns <input type="checkbox"/> Understanding of the problem is enhanced sufficiently for problem framing 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Meets+ <input type="checkbox"/> Evaluates and synthesizes information from a variety of authoritative sources <input type="checkbox"/> Resolves discrepancies between new information and stakeholder interests/concerns <input type="checkbox"/> Understanding is greatly enhanced, enabling informed problem framing
D2D Identify and describe the context (design environment, service environment, or operating environment) of the design problem	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Does not identify design context <input type="checkbox"/> Descriptions not provided 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Identifies irrelevant design context (i.e., too broad, too narrow, misplaced) <input type="checkbox"/> Descriptions are incorrect 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Identifies minimally relevant design context <input type="checkbox"/> Descriptions are superficial and not specific to the engineering design problem 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Identifies relevant design context <input type="checkbox"/> Descriptions are correct 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Meets+ <input type="checkbox"/> Thorough research performed <input type="checkbox"/> Demonstrates insightful understanding of problem
D3 Frame a problem in engineering design terms					
D3A Define and justify an appropriate project scope	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> No definition of scope <input type="checkbox"/> No justification of scope 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Defines an inappropriate (e.g., trivial or infeasible) project scope <input type="checkbox"/> Justification is inappropriate (e.g., misuse of evidence) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Scope is minimally appropriate <input type="checkbox"/> Justification is incomplete or vague (unconvincing) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Defines an appropriate project scope <input type="checkbox"/> Justifies scoping decisions (e.g., prioritization of design concerns reflects project and designers) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Meets+ <input type="checkbox"/> Mature and realistic project scope <input type="checkbox"/> Demonstrates insightful understanding of problem

Indicator	Fails		Below	Meets	Exceeds
	<i>Not Demonstrated</i>	<i>Misconception</i>			
D3B Define appropriate, measurable requirements for evaluating potential engineering design solutions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> No definition of requirements 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Documents inappropriate requirements (e.g., will not help distinguish between alternatives) <input type="checkbox"/> Requirements are not measurable (i.e., subjective) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Defines some appropriate requirements <input type="checkbox"/> Requirements are somewhat measurable (may not be feasible or reliable) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Defines appropriate requirements for the engineering design problem <input type="checkbox"/> Requirements are expressed in measurable terms 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Skillfully integrates information to document comprehensive requirements <input type="checkbox"/> Requirements are precise and measurable, promoting objective judgement of solutions
D3C Recognize uncertainty and assumptions in requirements; explain uncertainty and make logical assumptions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Does not acknowledge uncertainty <input type="checkbox"/> Does not make explicit assumptions 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Erroneous explanations of uncertainty (i.e., complete problem miscomprehension) <input type="checkbox"/> Makes illogical or unreasonable assumptions 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Explanations of uncertainty contain minimal errors <input type="checkbox"/> Makes simplistic assumptions and/or assumptions are unsupported 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Explains uncertainty in requirements <input type="checkbox"/> Makes logical assumptions supported with evidence as needed 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Explanations of uncertainty demonstrate deep insight into the problem <input type="checkbox"/> Makes elegant assumptions justified with convincing, credible arguments
D3D Define an appropriate project plan and provide a timeline that accurately anticipates the tasks and resources required	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Project plan not defined <input type="checkbox"/> Timeline not provided 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Defines an inappropriate project plan (e.g., ignores course deliverables or client expectations) <input type="checkbox"/> Timeline is infeasible and/or does not recognize critical tasks and resources 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Project plan includes most key activities <input type="checkbox"/> Timeline is inaccurate (e.g., underestimates some tasks or resources) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Defines an appropriate project plan (e.g., based on an accepted model) <input type="checkbox"/> Timeline accurately anticipates tasks and resources required 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Meets+ <input type="checkbox"/> Integrates academic and industry practices to define project plan <input type="checkbox"/> Timeline demonstrates creativity, foresight, and engineering judgement
D4 Generate or identify alternative solutions (i.e., diverge)					
D4A Apply ideation tools to identify a diverse	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> No application of ideation tools 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Erroneous application of decision-making tools (e.g., evidence of 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Superficial application of ideation tools (e.g., superficial 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Methodical application of ideation tools 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Intensive application of multiple ideation tools <input type="checkbox"/> Alternative solutions are highly creative (i.e. novel,

Indicator	Fails		Below	Meets	Exceeds
	<i>Not Demonstrated</i>	<i>Misconception</i>			
set of alternative solutions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Solutions are not identified 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> fixation or favouritism) <input type="checkbox"/> Alternative solutions are unoriginal or derivative 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> alterations to existing ideas) <input type="checkbox"/> Alternative solutions are minimally diverse 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Identification of a diverse set of alternative solutions 	divergent, alternate, contradictory and exploratory)
D4B Reflect on the ideation process: analyse the selection and application of ideation tools and assess the diversity of identified solutions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Does not analyse the selection and application of ideation tools <input type="checkbox"/> Does not assess the diversity of alternative solutions 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Erroneous analysis of ideation tools (e.g., does not determine whether appropriate tools were used) <input type="checkbox"/> Makes inappropriate claims about the diversity of solutions 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Superficial analysis of ideation tools <input type="checkbox"/> Makes minimally supported claims about the diversity of solutions 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Analyses the selection and application of ideation tools (e.g., identifies limitations of the ideation process) <input type="checkbox"/> Assesses the diversity of identified solutions 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Meets+ <input type="checkbox"/> Evidence of informed reflection and iteration throughout the ideation process to apply appropriate tools and identify a diverse set of alternative solutions
D5 Select candidate solutions for development (i.e., converge)					
D5A Apply decision-making tools to select valid candidate solutions for further development	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> No application of decision-making tools <input type="checkbox"/> Candidate solutions are not selected 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Erroneous application of decision-making tools (e.g., evidence of favouritism/bias or arbitrary selection) <input type="checkbox"/> Candidate solutions are inappropriate for the design problem 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Superficial application of decision-making tools (e.g., rule-based judgements) <input type="checkbox"/> Candidate solutions are minimally appropriate 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Methodical application of decision-making tools <input type="checkbox"/> Candidate solutions are mostly valid 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Considers outcomes of multiple decision-making tools <input type="checkbox"/> Selects multiple valid candidate solutions

Indicator	Fails		Below	Meets	Exceeds
	<i>Not Demonstrated</i>	<i>Misconception</i>			
D5B Reflect on the selection process: analyse the selection and application of decision-making tools and assess the validity of candidate solutions	<input type="checkbox"/> Does not analyse the selection and application of decision-making tools <input type="checkbox"/> Does not assess the validity of candidate solutions	<input type="checkbox"/> Erroneous analysis of decision-making tools <input type="checkbox"/> Makes inappropriate claims about the validity of candidate solutions	<input type="checkbox"/> Superficial analysis of decision-making tools <input type="checkbox"/> Makes minimally supported claims about the validity of candidate solutions	<input type="checkbox"/> Analyses the selection and application of decision-making tools (e.g., identifies limitations of the selection process) <input type="checkbox"/> Assesses the validity of candidate solutions	<input type="checkbox"/> Meets+ (e.g., Evidence of informed reflection and iteration throughout the selection process to apply appropriate tools and select a valid set of candidate solutions)
D6 Develop an engineering design solution					
D6A Implement a plan to produce a developed engineering design	<input type="checkbox"/> No implementation plan; engineering design not produced	<input type="checkbox"/> Unsuccessful divergence from development plan (e.g., errors in design, final product is no more evolved than conceptual design)	<input type="checkbox"/> Inconsistent implementation of plan (e.g., some elements of design developed or final product is more developed to some degree)	<input type="checkbox"/> Methodical implementation of plan to produce a developed engineering design	<input type="checkbox"/> Meets+ <input type="checkbox"/> Successful divergence from plan in consideration of goals, constraints, system and interactions <input type="checkbox"/> Developed design is in-service or ready for use
D6B Evaluate a developed engineering design against requirements to verify that it is an acceptable solution	<input type="checkbox"/> No evaluation of engineering design	<input type="checkbox"/> Evaluation method is inappropriate and/or conclusions are illogical	<input type="checkbox"/> Evaluation of developed engineering design is incomplete and/or superficial	<input type="checkbox"/> Evaluation of developed engineering design against requirements verifies that it is an acceptable solution	<input type="checkbox"/> Uses multiple approaches (e.g., evaluation against refined requirements, client feedback, user testing) and engineering judgement to assess the quality of a design

Indicator	Fails		Below	Meets	Exceeds
	<i>Not Demonstrated</i>	<i>Misconception</i>			
D6C Iteratively improve or redevelop an engineering design	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> No iteration 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Ineffective use of standard sequential engineering design process <input type="checkbox"/> Design remains flawed or decreases in quality 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Some minimally effective iteration within guidelines <input type="checkbox"/> Superficial effort made to improve known weaknesses in design 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Methodical iteration (i.e., suitable and effective deviation from sequential process) <input type="checkbox"/> Deficiencies are identified and design is improved 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Tailored execution of global and local iteration cycles (e.g., proactive deviation via credible, calculated risks tailored to design problem) <input type="checkbox"/> Design is significantly improved
D7 Represent an engineering design					
D7A Create prototypes, models or simulations to explore and analyse design components	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> No creation of prototypes, models or simulations <input type="checkbox"/> No exploration or analysis of design components 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Creates inappropriate prototypes, models or simulations <input type="checkbox"/> Counterproductive exploration and/or analysis 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Creates minimally useful prototypes, models or simulations <input type="checkbox"/> Superficial exploration and/or analysis of design (e.g., structural details) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Creates prototypes, models or simulations that are representative of design components <input type="checkbox"/> Explores and analyses design components 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Creates prototypes, models and/or simulations that elegantly represent design components <input type="checkbox"/> Exploration and analysis of design components enhances understanding of system
D7B Provide meaningful descriptions of an engineering design at the holistic (system) and/or analytic (component) level	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> No descriptions of the engineering design 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Descriptions are erroneous or confusing (i.e., misrepresentative of design) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Holistic and/or analytic descriptions are vague or superficial, may focus on structure rather than function 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Meaningfully represents an engineering design by providing holistic and analytic descriptions 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Meets+ <input type="checkbox"/> Elegant articulation of an engineering design <input type="checkbox"/> Sustained use of specific, accurate and insightful functional descriptions

Indicator	Fails		Below	Meets	Exceeds
	<i>Not Demonstrated</i>	<i>Misconception</i>			
D7C Use appropriate representation(s) to document technical details of an engineering design for future implementation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> No documentation of design 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Uses inappropriate representation(s) in attempt to document an engineering design 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Uses appropriate representation(s), but documentation is incomplete or inaccurate, hindering future implementation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Uses appropriate representation(s) to document technical details of an engineering design for future implementation (i.e., another engineer could produce the intended design) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Elegantly and unambiguously documents technical details of an engineering design for flawless implementation
D8 Reflect on the engineering design process					
D8A Accurately describe activities performed during the engineering design process and assess strengths and weaknesses	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Does not describe engineering design activities <input type="checkbox"/> Does not assess strengths or weaknesses of specific activities 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Erroneous description of activities (e.g., inconsistent with factual experience, misuse of engineering design terms) <input type="checkbox"/> Incorrect perception of strengths and weaknesses in activities 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Describes engineering design process <input type="checkbox"/> Lists strengths and weaknesses in a general or superficial manner 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Accurately describes engineering design activities <input type="checkbox"/> Assesses strengths and weaknesses of specific activities 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Expertly articulates engineering activity <input type="checkbox"/> Meaningfully organizes, compares and synthesizes strengths and weaknesses
D8B Reflect on how elements of the engineering design process impacted the final product	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Does not reflect on the impact the engineering design process had on the final product 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Makes erroneous connections between engineering design process and final product 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Incomplete or superficial reflection on how the engineering design process impacted the final product 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Reflects on how elements of the engineering design process impacted the final product 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Meets+ <input type="checkbox"/> Insightful reflection <input type="checkbox"/> Considers potential impact of alternate design processes

Final communication rubric

Indicator	Fails		Below	Meets	Exceeds
	<i>Not Demonstrated</i>	<i>Misconception</i>			
C1 Structure a logical argument					
C1A Select appropriate content and components (e.g., sections) for audience and purpose		<input type="checkbox"/> Content and components are inconsistent with the audience and purpose	<input type="checkbox"/> Content and components lack relevance to audience and purpose	<input type="checkbox"/> Content and components are appropriate for audience and purpose	<input type="checkbox"/> Content and components are nuanced and expertly selected for audience and purpose
C1B Provide a clear introduction that orients the reader to the subject of the document or talk	<input type="checkbox"/> No introduction	<input type="checkbox"/> Introduction does not orient the reader to the subject of the document or talk	<input type="checkbox"/> Introduction minimally orients the reader to the subject of the document or talk	<input type="checkbox"/> Introduction orients the reader to the subject of the document or talk	<input type="checkbox"/> Introduction orients the reader to the subject of the document or talk in a succinct and complete manner
C1C Make interpretive and analytical claims using reasonable inferences from evidence	<input type="checkbox"/> Makes no claims	<input type="checkbox"/> Makes claims that are not based on reasonable inferences from evidence (twists evidence to fit claims or evidence dumps (i.e., merely lists facts))	<input type="checkbox"/> Makes claims that are based on vague or incomplete inferences from evidence	<input type="checkbox"/> Makes claims that are based on reasonable inferences from evidence	<input type="checkbox"/> Makes claims that are based on sophisticated inferences from evidence that are unique and insightful
C1D Supports claims with use of evidence and reasoning	<input type="checkbox"/> Claims are unsupported	<input type="checkbox"/> Claims are supported by irrelevant evidence and reasoning	<input type="checkbox"/> Claims are supported by weak evidence and reasoning <input type="checkbox"/> Claims are inconsistently supported	<input type="checkbox"/> Claims are supported by credible evidence, (or evidence used credibly) and sound reasoning	<input type="checkbox"/> Meets + <input type="checkbox"/> Makes claims based on sophisticated handling of evidence and reasoning <input type="checkbox"/> Acknowledges opposing evidence and claims and

Indicator	Fails		Below	Meets	Exceeds
	Not Demonstrated	Misconception			
		<input type="checkbox"/> No linkage between evidence and claims	<input type="checkbox"/> Weak linkage between evidence and claims	<input type="checkbox"/> Claims are consistently well supported <input type="checkbox"/> Sufficient linkage between evidence and claims	presents a reasonable counterpoint
C1E Incorporate evidence from a range of different sources	<input type="checkbox"/> Lacks sources	<input type="checkbox"/> Sources lack appropriate range	<input type="checkbox"/> Evidence is from a minimal range of sources	<input type="checkbox"/> Evidence is from an appropriate range of sources	<input type="checkbox"/> Evidence is from a wide range of sources; the work demonstrates extensive research that goes beyond standard or typical sources
C1F Make use of a logical reasoning pattern (e.g., deductive, inductive reasoning) to structure argument	<input type="checkbox"/> Does not use a logical reasoning pattern to structure argument	<input type="checkbox"/> Poor execution of logical reasoning weakens argument	<input type="checkbox"/> Logical reasoning pattern is only vaguely apparent, creating a weak argument structure	<input type="checkbox"/> Logical reasoning pattern is evident resulting in an effective argument structure	<input type="checkbox"/> Logical reasoning pattern is both evident and compelling, enabling a highly effective structure for argument
C1G Provide a clear, logical conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> No conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Conclusion is vague/unclear <input type="checkbox"/> Conclusion is disconnected from the key claims or evidence <input type="checkbox"/> Conclusion does not identify any areas for further work	<input type="checkbox"/> Conclusion is weak or poorly formulated <input type="checkbox"/> Conclusion is poorly linked to the evidence or key claims <input type="checkbox"/> Conclusion makes passing mention of or alludes to further work	<input type="checkbox"/> Conclusion is clear without being wordy <input type="checkbox"/> Conclusion is clearly rooted in/linked to the evidence or key claims <input type="checkbox"/> Conclusion identifies areas for further work	<input type="checkbox"/> Meets+ <input type="checkbox"/> Conclusion is engaging and thoroughly explores the implications and significance of the topic/issue

Indicator	Fails		Below	Meets	Exceeds
	<i>Not Demonstrated</i>	<i>Misconception</i>			
C1H Convey a clear and consistent central message throughout document or presentation	<input type="checkbox"/> No central message	<input type="checkbox"/> Multiple inconsistent messages throughout the text/talk	<input type="checkbox"/> Central message can be deduced but is not explicitly stated or reinforced	<input type="checkbox"/> Central message is clear and consistent throughout the text/talk	<input type="checkbox"/> Central message is compelling, precisely stated, appropriately repeated and strongly supported
C1I Use genre and disciplinary standards and conventions when appropriate		<input type="checkbox"/> Demonstrates inaccurate or inappropriate use of genre and disciplinary standards and conventions	<input type="checkbox"/> Demonstrates some familiarity with genre/disciplinary standards and conventions; inconsistent use of standards and conventions	<input type="checkbox"/> Demonstrates consistent and appropriate use of important conventions particular to a specific discipline and/or writing task(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> Demonstrates detailed attention to and successful execution of the appropriate disciplinary standards and conventions
C2 Organize communication					
C2A Provide a concise abstract or executive summary that summarizes purpose, methods, key results and presents conclusions	<input type="checkbox"/> No abstract or executive summary	<input type="checkbox"/> Abstract or executive summary is unclear and/or <input type="checkbox"/> Does not summarize the purpose, methods, key results or conclusions	<input type="checkbox"/> Abstract or executive summary is weak or poorly formulated and/or <input type="checkbox"/> Minimally summarizes the purpose, methods, key results or conclusions	<input type="checkbox"/> Abstract or executive summary is clear and concise and <input type="checkbox"/> Summarizes the purpose, methods, key results and conclusions	<input type="checkbox"/> Meets+ <input type="checkbox"/> Abstract is engaging and provides a clear context that impressively situates the key results and conclusions
C2B Adapt mode of communication to meet an audience's needs		<input type="checkbox"/> Mode is inappropriate in meeting audience's needs	<input type="checkbox"/> Inconsistent relationship between mode and needs of audience	<input type="checkbox"/> Uses and adapts appropriate mode to meet the needs of the audience	<input type="checkbox"/> Uses and adapts appropriate mode to effectively match the

Indicator	Fails		Below	Meets	Exceeds
	<i>Not Demonstrated</i>	<i>Misconception</i>			
					medium and needs of the audience
C2C Use explicit structure (e.g., headings) to direct an audience	<input type="checkbox"/> No explicit structure	<input type="checkbox"/> Structure is confusing to audience	<input type="checkbox"/> Demonstrates an attempt to use structure but requires effort to navigate	<input type="checkbox"/> Intentionally uses an explicit structure that directs the audience	<input type="checkbox"/> Structure of text/talk makes it easy for the audience to navigate the material in multiple ways ¹
C2D Transition effectively between paragraphs and/or sections	<input type="checkbox"/> Transitions are missing	<input type="checkbox"/> Transitions show no logical connection between paragraphs and sections	<input type="checkbox"/> Transitions do not clarify the relationships between paragraphs and sections	<input type="checkbox"/> Transitions effectively show logical relationships between paragraphs and sections	<input type="checkbox"/> Transitions contribute to coherence, and strengthen logical relationships between paragraphs and sections
C2E Organize communication elements (e.g., introduction, conclusion etc.) so that their relationship to the main point and one another is clear	<input type="checkbox"/> No relationship among communication elements <input type="checkbox"/> No relationship between communication elements and the main point	<input type="checkbox"/> Unclear relationship among communication elements <input type="checkbox"/> Unclear relationship between communication elements and the main point	<input type="checkbox"/> Inadequate relationship among communication elements <input type="checkbox"/> Inadequate relationship between communication elements and the main point	<input type="checkbox"/> Clear relationship among communication elements <input type="checkbox"/> Clear relationship between communication elements and the main point	<input type="checkbox"/> Clear relationship and support among communication elements <input type="checkbox"/> Clear relationship and support between communication elements and the main point, making it easy for the reader/audience to follow

¹ Nonlinear “jumping” — you can start from any point and still understand the document/talk

Indicator	Fails		Below	Meets	Exceeds
	<i>Not Demonstrated</i>	<i>Misconception</i>			
C2F Provide context before detail at the sentence, paragraph and section level (i.e., flow)	<input type="checkbox"/> Document/talk lacks context and detail	<input type="checkbox"/> Details are presented before or without any context	<input type="checkbox"/> Document/talk inconsistently provides context before detail or does not have a coherent thread	<input type="checkbox"/> Sentences and paragraphs build logically by providing context before detail	<input type="checkbox"/> Presentation of detail emerges naturally from context and helps build a convincing argument
C3 Develop clear, correct and cohesive sentence and paragraph structures for written communication					
C3A Choose appropriate rhetorical structures for paragraphs and sentences	<input type="checkbox"/> Paragraphs and sentences lack specific rhetorical structure	<input type="checkbox"/> Rhetorical structures are not appropriate for paragraphs and sentences, and do not align with the goals of the text	<input type="checkbox"/> Inconsistent relationship between rhetorical structures of paragraphs and sentences and goals of the text	<input type="checkbox"/> Rhetorical structures are appropriate for paragraphs and sentences, and align with the goals of the text	<input type="checkbox"/> Meets + <input type="checkbox"/> Rhetorical structures facilitate thorough understanding of the text
C3B Build each paragraph around a focus or claim, usually signalled by a topic sentence	<input type="checkbox"/> Paragraphs have no focus/claim or topic sentence	<input type="checkbox"/> Paragraphs have multiple inconsistent claims or focus areas	<input type="checkbox"/> Paragraph focus/claim is vague or unclear; requires some effort to understand	<input type="checkbox"/> Paragraphs have a clear focus or claim usually signalled by a topic sentence	<input type="checkbox"/> Paragraphs sustain focus throughout <input type="checkbox"/> Claims are signalled by a topic sentence where appropriate and supported with explanation, evidence and/or sufficient detail
C3C Use appropriate formatting (e.g., headings, bullet points etc.) to achieve purpose		<input type="checkbox"/> Formatting is not appropriate for purpose, audience and genre	<input type="checkbox"/> Formatting presents some inconsistencies with purpose, audience and genre	<input type="checkbox"/> Formatting is appropriate for purpose, audience and genre	<input type="checkbox"/> Formatting is highly effective for the purpose, audience and genre
C3D Make clear and appropriate		<input type="checkbox"/> Vocabulary is very limited (i.e.,	<input type="checkbox"/> Vocabulary choices are limited	<input type="checkbox"/> Vocabulary is varied and appropriate for audience and topic	<input type="checkbox"/> Meets+ <input type="checkbox"/> Vocabulary is sophisticated, precise,

Indicator	Fails		Below	Meets	Exceeds
	Not Demonstrated	Misconception			
vocabulary choices		excessive repetition) <input type="checkbox"/> Errors in vocabulary are distracting and hinder understanding	and occasionally ineffective <input type="checkbox"/> Errors in vocabulary occasionally hinder understanding	<input type="checkbox"/> Errors in vocabulary do not hinder understanding	compelling and enhances the effectiveness of the text/talk
C3E Demonstrate grammatical accuracy and clarity in sentence structure		<input type="checkbox"/> Problems in grammar and sentence structure hinder understanding of key elements of the document/talk	<input type="checkbox"/> Some problems in grammar and sentence structure hinder readability of prose but not understanding of the document/talk	<input type="checkbox"/> Grammar and sentence structure are mostly accurate and clear throughout document/talk	<input type="checkbox"/> Grammar and sentence structure are accurate and coherent, and clearly communicate complex topics in well-designed paragraphs and sentences
C4 Design and deliver oral communication effectively to an intended audience					
C4A Choose appropriate rhetorical structures for oral communication	<input type="checkbox"/> Talk lacks specific rhetorical structure	<input type="checkbox"/> Rhetorical structures are not appropriate for the context of the talk	<input type="checkbox"/> Inconsistent relationship between rhetorical structures and the context of the talk	<input type="checkbox"/> Rhetorical structures are appropriate for the context of the talk	<input type="checkbox"/> Meets + <input type="checkbox"/> Rhetorical structures engage the audience and facilitate thorough understanding of material
C4B Present in a natural but prepared manner		<input type="checkbox"/> Delivery is unnatural or <input type="checkbox"/> Delivery is unprepared (i.e., heavily reliant on notes or slides)	<input type="checkbox"/> Delivery is mechanical with occasional instances of natural delivery <input type="checkbox"/> Some preparation evident but frequently reads from notes or slides	<input type="checkbox"/> Delivery is natural and helps build rapport with audience <input type="checkbox"/> Delivery is prepared (occasionally reads from notes or slides)	<input type="checkbox"/> Delivery is natural and helps builds engagement with audience <input type="checkbox"/> Delivery is thoroughly prepared (rarely reads from notes or slides)
C4C Engage with audience through appropriate eye contact and non-	<input type="checkbox"/> No appropriate or useful	<input type="checkbox"/> Body gestures/language are distracting or inappropriate	<input type="checkbox"/> Body gestures may occasionally distract audience	<input type="checkbox"/> Body gestures/language facilitate audience engagement	<input type="checkbox"/> Body gestures/language are fluid and natural, and enhance audience interest and understanding; speaker

Indicator	Fails		Below	Meets	Exceeds
	<i>Not Demonstrated</i>	<i>Misconception</i>			
distracting body gestures/ language	gesturing/body language <input type="checkbox"/> No eye contact	<input type="checkbox"/> Very little eye contact with audience or speaks only to one audience member	<input type="checkbox"/> Intermittent eye contact with audience or engages with minimal audience members	<input type="checkbox"/> Reasonable eye contact with most of the audience	appears comfortable and enthusiastic <input type="checkbox"/> Sustained eye contact creates an effective connection with entire audience
C4D Use appropriate auditory mechanics (volume, articulation, pace and tone)		<input type="checkbox"/> Volume is too soft to hear or distractingly loud <input type="checkbox"/> Articulation is poorly executed/ unclear; mumbles or runs words together <input type="checkbox"/> Pace is too fast or too slow to understand <input type="checkbox"/> Tone is unprofessional and inappropriate for purpose and audience	<input type="checkbox"/> Volume is sometimes inaudible or inappropriately loud <input type="checkbox"/> Articulation is occasionally clear <input type="checkbox"/> Pace is inconsistent (at times too fast or too slow) <input type="checkbox"/> Tone is occasionally professional and appropriate for purpose and audience	<input type="checkbox"/> Volume is consistently audible/able to be heard by the entire audience <input type="checkbox"/> Articulation is consistently clear <input type="checkbox"/> Pace is appropriate to facilitate audience understanding <input type="checkbox"/> Tone is consistently professional and appropriate for audience and purpose	<input type="checkbox"/> Volume is audible and varied to emphasize key points and enhance audience interest <input type="checkbox"/> Articulation is clear, precise and engaging <input type="checkbox"/> Pace is natural and varied to emphasize key points and enhance audience interest <input type="checkbox"/> Tone is consistently engaging, appropriate and professional
C4E Provide verbal and visual cues to guide the audience throughout the presentation	<input type="checkbox"/> Provides no verbal or visual cues during the presentation	<input type="checkbox"/> Verbal or visual cues are misleading or undermine understanding of presentation	<input type="checkbox"/> Provides few verbal or visual cues	<input type="checkbox"/> Usually provides verbal and visual cues that guide the audience throughout the presentation	<input type="checkbox"/> Consistently provides verbal and visual cues that guide the audience

Indicator	Fails		Below	Meets	Exceeds
	Not Demonstrated	Misconception			
C4F Manage time effectively; cover important points within the allotted time		<input type="checkbox"/> Goes significantly over or under allotted time <input type="checkbox"/> Misses important points completely	<input type="checkbox"/> Struggles to deliver presentation in allotted time (e.g., may rush at the end of the presentation) <input type="checkbox"/> Spends too little or too much time on important points	<input type="checkbox"/> Delivers presentation in allotted time <input type="checkbox"/> Sufficiently covers important points within the allotted time	<input type="checkbox"/> Meets + <input type="checkbox"/> Devotes more or less time to components of the presentation based on relative importance <input type="checkbox"/> Demonstrates an understanding of how to modify timing of presentation based on unanticipated constraints
C5 Create clear and appropriate visuals to present ideas					
C5A Create visuals with a clear purpose	<input type="checkbox"/> No visuals present where needed	<input type="checkbox"/> Visuals have no evident purpose or relationship to the text/talk	<input type="checkbox"/> Visuals appear to support the text/talk, but purpose of visuals has to be inferred or is not consistently clear throughout	<input type="checkbox"/> Visuals have a clear purpose and support the text/talk	<input type="checkbox"/> Visuals have a clear purpose, are unified, thoughtful and thoroughly enrich the work
C5B Select visual type appropriate to purpose and audience		<input type="checkbox"/> Visual type is not appropriate to purpose and audience	<input type="checkbox"/> Visual type is appropriate to some degree, but a better selection could have been made	<input type="checkbox"/> Visual type is appropriate to purpose and audience	<input type="checkbox"/> Visual type is selected appropriately, and expertly contributes to a thorough understanding of the material
C5C Use graphical components that highlight key ideas and limit noise		<input type="checkbox"/> Graphical and textual components are noisy (i.e., thoroughly distracting and/or significantly hinder	<input type="checkbox"/> Graphical and textual components demonstrate some key points but are impeded by noise	<input type="checkbox"/> Graphical and textual components highlight key points and limit noise	<input type="checkbox"/> Meets + <input type="checkbox"/> Graphical and textual components are simple yet elegant; they make interpretation of the text/talk intuitive

Indicator	Fails		Below	Meets	Exceeds
	Not Demonstrated	Misconception			
		understanding of material) and/or do not demonstrate key points			
C5D Integrate visuals into text or talk so that they support and clarify key points		<input type="checkbox"/> Visuals are disconnected from the key points (e.g., do not refer to slides)	<input type="checkbox"/> Visuals are not clearly related to the key points	<input type="checkbox"/> Visuals support and clarify key points	<input type="checkbox"/> Visuals simplify explanations and deepen understanding of text/talk
C5E Format visuals effectively		<input type="checkbox"/> Visuals are poorly formatted	<input type="checkbox"/> Visuals are not ideally formatted	<input type="checkbox"/> Visuals are usually formatted appropriately	<input type="checkbox"/> Visuals are always formatted appropriately
C6 Iterate and reflect on the communication					
C6A Incorporate feedback to inform future iterations	<input type="checkbox"/> Does not incorporate feedback	<input type="checkbox"/> Misinterprets feedback; does not understand how to incorporate feedback effectively	<input type="checkbox"/> Incorporates some feedback but does not sufficiently improve final document or project work	<input type="checkbox"/> Incorporates feedback to improve final document or project work	<input type="checkbox"/> Meets + <input type="checkbox"/> Successfully uses feedback in an unexpected way
C6B Identify and explain strengths and weaknesses in the various aspects of communication	<input type="checkbox"/> Does not identify or explain strengths and weaknesses	<input type="checkbox"/> Misidentifies strengths and weaknesses	<input type="checkbox"/> Lists strengths and weaknesses in a very general manner	<input type="checkbox"/> Identifies and explains specific strengths and weaknesses in the various aspects of communication	<input type="checkbox"/> Meaningfully organizes, compares and synthesizes strengths and weaknesses

Indicator	Fails		Below	Meets	Exceeds
	<i>Not Demonstrated</i>	<i>Misconception</i>			
(e.g., organization, argument, delivery method etc.)					
C6C Reflect on how specific parts of the development process impacted the final communication product(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> No reflection conducted	<input type="checkbox"/> Makes erroneous connections between development process and final communication product(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> Reflection is overly general; does not identify specific parts of the development process and/or final product(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> Reflects on how specific parts of the development process impacted the final product(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> Meets + <input type="checkbox"/> Outlines constructive next steps for future assignments based on specific aspects of the development process
C6D Use reflection to determine and guide self-development	<input type="checkbox"/> No reflection apparent	<input type="checkbox"/> Reflection is highly superficial or irrelevant to the assignment/course <input type="checkbox"/> Reflection does not guide self-development	<input type="checkbox"/> Reflection is overly general <input type="checkbox"/> Reflection does not sufficiently determine and guide self-development	<input type="checkbox"/> Reflection is sufficiently specific <input type="checkbox"/> Reflection sufficiently determines and guides self-development	<input type="checkbox"/> Reflection is highly specific <input type="checkbox"/> Reflection demonstrates self-awareness, an (emerging) engineering identity and a plausible vision for improvement

Final teamwork rubric

Indicator	Fails		Below	Meets	Exceeds
	<i>Not Demonstrated</i>	<i>Misconception</i>			
T1 Build a Collaborative Team Environment					
T1A Communicate respectfully with team members, using effective tone, body language and facial expressions [individual]	<input type="checkbox"/> Does not communicate with team members	<input type="checkbox"/> Communicates disrespectfully with team members using ineffective tone, body language and facial expressions	<input type="checkbox"/> Communicates respectfully but does so inconsistently and/or to select team members	<input type="checkbox"/> Communicates respectfully with all team members using effective tone, body language and facial expressions	<input type="checkbox"/> Meets + <input type="checkbox"/> Encourages other team members to communicate respectfully using effective tone, body language and facial expressions
T1B Use effective alternative strategies to communicate with speakers of differing language backgrounds, skills and preferences [individual]	<input type="checkbox"/> Does not use alternative strategies to communicate with speakers of differing language backgrounds, skills and preferences	<input type="checkbox"/> Alternative strategies are used but are not effective for speakers of differing language backgrounds, skills and preferences	<input type="checkbox"/> Attempts to use effective alternative strategies, but does so inconsistently or has to be supported by other team members	<input type="checkbox"/> Deliberately and consistently uses a variety of effective alternative strategies to communicate with speakers of differing language backgrounds, skills and preferences	<input type="checkbox"/> Meets + <input type="checkbox"/> Supports team members in communicating effectively with speakers of differing language backgrounds, skills and preferences
T1C Convey a constructive attitude about the team and its work [individual]		<input type="checkbox"/> Conveys a negative attitude about the team and its work in a way that hinders team	<input type="checkbox"/> Attempts to convey a constructive attitude about the team and its work but is inconsistent	<input type="checkbox"/> Consistently conveys a constructive attitude; demonstrates an understanding of when to be positive and appropriately critical	<input type="checkbox"/> Meets + <input type="checkbox"/> Encourages other team members to adopt a constructive attitude about the team and its work

Indicator	Fails		Below	Meets	Exceeds
	<i>Not Demonstrated</i>	<i>Misconception</i>			
		cohesiveness and effectiveness		about the team and its work	
T1D Motivate team members in ways appropriate to the situation and goal (e.g., by emphasizing confidence in their success, by emphasizing importance of the task or by emphasizing team control over the outcome etc.) [individual]	<input type="checkbox"/> Does not motivate team members	<input type="checkbox"/> Discourages or limits the abilities of others to contribute to the team	<input type="checkbox"/> Occasionally demonstrates an interest in motivating team members but requires prompting to do so	<input type="checkbox"/> Consistently and actively motivates team members in ways appropriate to the situation and goal	<input type="checkbox"/> Meets + <input type="checkbox"/> Actions inspire others to motivate team members
T1E Provide assistance to team members as needed or required [individual]	<input type="checkbox"/> Does not assist team members when needed or required	<input type="checkbox"/> Intervenes and disrupts the work of other team members	<input type="checkbox"/> Assists some team members but ignores others who need help and/or provides very limited assistance	<input type="checkbox"/> Consistently provides assistance to all team members as needed or required	<input type="checkbox"/> Meets+ <input type="checkbox"/> Provides support to team members in finding ways to meet their individual team obligations
T1F Apply formal models of team and individuals (e.g., psychometrics, team role	<input type="checkbox"/> Demonstrates no knowledge or use of formal models of team and individuals	<input type="checkbox"/> Erroneously applies models of team and individuals to address team needs	<input type="checkbox"/> Demonstrates some knowledge of formal models, but does not use them to address team needs consistently	<input type="checkbox"/> Demonstrates considerable knowledge and consistent use of formal models of team and individuals to address team needs	<input type="checkbox"/> Meets + <input type="checkbox"/> Formal models consistently improve team cohesiveness and effectiveness

Indicator	Fails		Below	Meets	Exceeds
	<i>Not Demonstrated</i>	<i>Misconception</i>			
models, etc.) to address team needs [team]					
T1G Offer new suggestions that build on the ideas of others [individual]	<input type="checkbox"/> Offers no suggestions	<input type="checkbox"/> Only offers their own suggestions without building on the ideas of others	<input type="checkbox"/> Repeats suggestions made by others without incorporating any novel or useful ideas or rarely builds on the ideas of others	<input type="checkbox"/> Offers new suggestions that build on the ideas of others	<input type="checkbox"/> Meets + <input type="checkbox"/> Synthesizes and refines the suggestions of others to offer helpful ideas that advance the work of the group and/or notices when someone is not making any suggestions and respectfully invites them to engage
T1H Articulates the merits of alternative ideas from others [individual]	<input type="checkbox"/> Does not articulate the merits of alternative ideas from others	<input type="checkbox"/> Diminishes the ideas of others	<input type="checkbox"/> Seems to recognize the merits of alternative ideas, but valuing of the ideas is not clear	<input type="checkbox"/> Recognizes and articulates the merits of alternative ideas from others	<input type="checkbox"/> Meets+ <input type="checkbox"/> Inspires others to articulate the merits of alternative ideas
T1I Identify and address conflict [individual]	<input type="checkbox"/> Does not identify conflict <input type="checkbox"/> Does not address conflict	<input type="checkbox"/> Contributes to the escalation of conflict	<input type="checkbox"/> Identifies conflict but makes little effort to address it or effort is ineffective	<input type="checkbox"/> Identifies conflict and addresses conflict in the team	<input type="checkbox"/> Meets + <input type="checkbox"/> Encourages an atmosphere of open dialogue and constructive argument ² to address destructive conflict on an ongoing basis
T1J Stay actively engaged with team conflict	<input type="checkbox"/> Does not participate in team conflict mediation	<input type="checkbox"/> Actively works against mediation by continuing behaviours that	<input type="checkbox"/> Passively engages in team conflict mediation	<input type="checkbox"/> Stays actively engaged with team conflict mediation	<input type="checkbox"/> Actively mediates team conflict until resolution is reached

² Encourages team to reach a solution that is better than any of the ones provided

Indicator	Fails		Below	Meets	Exceeds
	<i>Not Demonstrated</i>	<i>Misconception</i>			
mediation [individual]		perpetuate conflict			
T1K Redirect team focus toward common ground [individual]	<input type="checkbox"/> Does not contribute/assist in developing team focus	<input type="checkbox"/> Escalates team differences and conflict in a way that hinders team focus	<input type="checkbox"/> Makes limited contributions to developing team focus	<input type="checkbox"/> Redirects team focus toward common ground to facilitate team cohesiveness and effectiveness	<input type="checkbox"/> Meets+ <input type="checkbox"/> Integrates the perspective of all team members in finding common ground
T1L Modify behaviour based on the needs of or changes to the team (e.g., in team roles, schedules, objectives, priorities etc.) [individual]	<input type="checkbox"/> Does not modify behaviour based on the needs of or changes to the team	<input type="checkbox"/> Modifies behaviour in response to changes to the team in ways that are detrimental	<input type="checkbox"/> Does not readily modify behaviour; has to be prompted by others	<input type="checkbox"/> Modifies behaviour based on the needs of or changes to the team as necessary and appropriate	<input type="checkbox"/> Meets+ <input type="checkbox"/> Constructively helps others modify their behaviour based on the needs of or changes to the team
T2 Manage Team Productivity					
T2A Establish norms of practice (e.g., rules, roles, charters, etc.) [team]	<input type="checkbox"/> Does not establish norms of practice; there is no understanding of the rules (or there are no rules), tasks and who does what in the team	<input type="checkbox"/> Establishes destructive norms of practice	<input type="checkbox"/> Begins to informally identify norms of practice, but they will not make significant advances in guiding the team	<input type="checkbox"/> Establishes norms of practice that will help guide the team	<input type="checkbox"/> Meets+ <input type="checkbox"/> Incorporates follow-up activities that hold team members accountable to norms of practice
T2B Utilize norms of practice over the course of the project [individual]	<input type="checkbox"/> Does not utilize norms of practice	<input type="checkbox"/> Utilizes norms of practice to create conflict or alienate team members	<input type="checkbox"/> Utilizes norms of practice inconsistently or at select points during the project	<input type="checkbox"/> Utilizes norms of practice throughout the course of the project	<input type="checkbox"/> Meets + <input type="checkbox"/> Respectfully follows up with team members who consistently fail to utilize the team's norms of practice

Indicator	Fails		Below	Meets	Exceeds
	<i>Not Demonstrated</i>	<i>Misconception</i>			
T2C Outline clear and relevant agenda items for team meetings [team]	<input type="checkbox"/> Does not outline any agenda items for team meetings	<input type="checkbox"/> Agenda items deter from important team tasks	<input type="checkbox"/> Agenda items are not clear or relevant to the task at hand	<input type="checkbox"/> Outlines clear agenda items for team meetings that are relevant to the task at hand	<input type="checkbox"/> Outlines and prioritizes clear agenda items that are relevant and contribute to efficiency in team meetings
T2D Attend team meetings regularly and on time [individual]	<input type="checkbox"/> Does not attend any team meetings	<input type="checkbox"/> Often late and/or absent without notifying the team	<input type="checkbox"/> Sometimes late and/or absent; may notify team	<input type="checkbox"/> Attends team meetings regularly and on time; consistently notifies team if late or absent	<input type="checkbox"/> Makes an exceptional effort when necessary to attend team meetings regularly and on time; notifies team as far as possible in advance of lateness or absence and/or <input type="checkbox"/> Follows up with team members to catch up on what they missed if late or absent
T2E Complete all assigned tasks by (external and/or internal) deadline [team]	<input type="checkbox"/> Assigned tasks are not completed or <input type="checkbox"/> Assigned tasks are not completed by deadline		<input type="checkbox"/> Completes some tasks by deadline or <input type="checkbox"/> Sometimes completes tasks by deadline or completes tasks by deadline if repeatedly reminded or significantly supported by others	<input type="checkbox"/> Completes all assigned tasks by deadline	<input type="checkbox"/> Completes work in advance with enough time to improve the quality before deadline

Indicator	Fails		Below	Meets	Exceeds
	<i>Not Demonstrated</i>	<i>Misconception</i>			
T2F Produce quality work that advances the team [individual]	<input type="checkbox"/> Does not produce work	<input type="checkbox"/> Work is not usable; must be completely redone by others	<input type="checkbox"/> Quality of work is inconsistent; occasionally needs to be checked or redone by others to be acceptable	<input type="checkbox"/> Quality of work is satisfactory; only minor improvements required	<input type="checkbox"/> Meets+ <input type="checkbox"/> Proactively helps team members who produce work that requires improvements
T2G Make individual contributions that advance the project, either directly or indirectly [individual]	<input type="checkbox"/> Makes no contribution to advance the project	<input type="checkbox"/> Any contributions made do not advance the project	<input type="checkbox"/> Makes few contributions that do not significantly advance the project either directly or indirectly	<input type="checkbox"/> Makes contributions that consistently advance the project either directly or indirectly	<input type="checkbox"/> Meets + <input type="checkbox"/> Proactively helps others make contributions that advance the project (e.g., notices when someone is not contributing and respectfully invites them to do so)
T3 Reflect on Team Practices					
T3A Assess team productivity and refine norms as needed over the course of the project [team]	<input type="checkbox"/> Does not assess team productivity and/or does not refine norms as needed over the course of the project	<input type="checkbox"/> Assesses team productivity incorrectly and/or refines norms in ways that detract from team productivity	<input type="checkbox"/> Assesses productivity superficially and/or inconsistently refines norms over the course of the project	<input type="checkbox"/> Assesses team productivity and refines norms as needed over the course of the project	<input type="checkbox"/> Meets+ <input type="checkbox"/> Team has a codified way of monitoring its productivity and adjusting its processes
T3B Identify team strengths and weaknesses with respect to teamwork and team management [team]	<input type="checkbox"/> Does not identify team strengths and weaknesses with respect to teamwork and team management	<input type="checkbox"/> Misidentifies team strengths and weaknesses with respect to teamwork and team management	<input type="checkbox"/> Identifies general team strengths and weaknesses, but does not relate them to teamwork and team management	<input type="checkbox"/> Identifies team strengths and weaknesses with respect to teamwork and team management	<input type="checkbox"/> Meaningfully organizes, compares and synthesizes team strengths and weaknesses with respect to teamwork and team management, demonstrating clear evidence of critical thinking
T3C Accurately report on	<input type="checkbox"/> Does not report on other team	<input type="checkbox"/> Misreports other team	<input type="checkbox"/> Identifies others' contributions to the	<input type="checkbox"/> Accurately reports on others' specific	<input type="checkbox"/> Meets +

Indicator	Fails		Below	Meets	Exceeds
	<i>Not Demonstrated</i>	<i>Misconception</i>			
other team members' contributions to the team activity [individual]	members' contributions to the team activity	members' contributions to the team activity	team activity but is overly general	contributions to the team activity	<input type="checkbox"/> Articulates connections/interactions between team members' contributions (e.g., understands that A has to complete Task 1 before B can complete Task 2)
T3D Reflect on how one's own contributions impacted the work of the team [individual]	<input type="checkbox"/> No reflection conducted or <input type="checkbox"/> Provides no examples of how one's own contributions impacted the work of the team	<input type="checkbox"/> Reflection is highly inaccurate <input type="checkbox"/> Exaggerates one's own contributions or unreasonably denigrates contributions made by others	<input type="checkbox"/> Reflection is overly general <input type="checkbox"/> Examples of how one's own contributions impacted the work of the team are limited or general	<input type="checkbox"/> Reflection is specific <input type="checkbox"/> Provides a selection of relevant examples of how one's own contributions impacted the work of the team	<input type="checkbox"/> Reflection is specific and outlines constructive next steps to improve one's own contributions to the team <input type="checkbox"/> Provides multiple relevant, concrete and diverse examples
T3E Reflect on how specific parts of the team process impacted the team's deliverables [individual]	<input type="checkbox"/> No reflection conducted or <input type="checkbox"/> Provides no examples of how parts of the team process impacted the team's deliverables	<input type="checkbox"/> Reflection is highly inaccurate <input type="checkbox"/> Misidentifies the connections between team process and impact on deliverables	<input type="checkbox"/> Reflection is overly general <input type="checkbox"/> Examples of how parts of the team process impacted the team's deliverables are limited or general	<input type="checkbox"/> Reflection is specific (i.e., reflects on how specific parts of the teamwork process impacted the team's deliverables) <input type="checkbox"/> Provides a selection of relevant examples	<input type="checkbox"/> Reflection is specific and outlines constructive next steps to improve team's deliverables <input type="checkbox"/> Provides multiple relevant, concrete and diverse examples
T3F Identify how the team evolved during the course of a project [individual]	<input type="checkbox"/> Does not identify how the team evolved during the course of a project	<input type="checkbox"/> Misidentifies how the team evolved during the course of a project	<input type="checkbox"/> Identification is overly general, superficial or exaggerated	<input type="checkbox"/> Accurately identifies specific ways in which the team evolved during the course of a project	<input type="checkbox"/> Meets + <input type="checkbox"/> Addresses how the team evolved by outlining specific, actionable plans for future projects

Appendix B

Appendix B contains the indicators for problem analysis and investigation. These indicators were developed using a Delphi method. Starting with a set of indicators compiled from the literature, modifications and additions were made through an iterative inquiry process with a set of instructors who teach courses relevant to these competencies.

Indicators for Problem Analysis competency

1. Define the problem
P1A. State the problem in their own words
P1B. Identify primary problem goal(s)
P1C. Characterize the type of problem and the type of solution sought
P1D. Represent the problem visually (e.g., free body diagram, circuit schematic)
P1E. Identify known information
P1F. Recognize unknown information
P1G. Identify relevant models, concepts or theories
P1H. Identify relevant assumptions
2. Explore relevant knowledge and solution processes
P2A. Reframe complex problems into interconnected sub problems
P2B. Identify appropriate techniques and methods to solve the problem
P2C. Recognize “off-the-shelf” solutions or routine solution processes
P2D. Incorporate information from other sources into the solution process (e.g., looking up the speed of light)
P2E. Determine methodological constraints
P2F. Predictively compare and contrast alternate solution processes in terms of relevant metrics (e.g., accuracy, precision, efficiency, reliability, feasibility, risk, impact, etc.)

P2G. Combine the information from the problem with the appropriate model, concept or theory to create a solvable system

3. Propose a solution process

P3A. Plan a systematic solution process (i.e., identifies measurable tasks that support sub-goals)

P3B. Modify “off-the-shelf” solution process within problem context

4. Implement a solution

P4A. Implement a defined solution process to solve the problem

P4B. Competently use appropriate analysis tools (e.g., computational, experimental, analytical)

P4C. Express the solution in an appropriate form

5. Evaluate results

P5A. Evaluate whether the solution is suitably accurate for the type of problem

P5B. Determine if the solution is valid and correct, or is clearly not correct

P5C. Interpret and evaluate alternative solutions and select a final solution

P5D. Identify limitations and sources of error or uncertainty in the solution

P5E. Specify conclusions or future work (including improvements or modifications to the solution) that can be supported by the analysis

Indicators for Investigation competency

1. Define their research

I1A. Define a research problem, question or gap

I1B. Decompose a complex problem into similar related problems

I1C. Develop a hypothesis

I1D. Develop a research plan

2. Collect information

I2A. Gather existing background information on the topic from literature and other relevant sources

I2B. Synthesize existing information

I2C. Perform a critical review of a research paper or article

3. Define a research methodology

I3A. Identify protocols, strategies or methods for investigation

I3B. Select and combine appropriate research methodologies

I3C. Construct and describe a research methodology

I3D. Identify necessary resources to carry out the methodology

I3E. Identify assumptions of the research methodology

I3F. State the limitations of the research methodology

4. Follow a research methodology

I4A. Execute a research methodology

I4B. Collect and organize data carefully and effectively

I4C. Modify methodology based on preliminary results

I4D. Maintain a safe working environment and display safe lab practice while performing research

5. Perform research analysis

I5A. Organize and synthesize evidence to support or address the research questions or hypothesis

I5B. Apply critical thinking processes and strategies to analyze the data

6. Formulate conclusions

I6A. Objectively draw conclusions based on experimental outcomes/results

I6B. Explain unexpected results

I6C. Characterize the limitations of the research

I6D. Characterize the significance of the research

7. Describe future research directions

I7A. Outline next steps or future work

I7B. Suggest methodological improvements

8. Engage in learning

I8A. Appropriately connect/use course concepts in the investigation process

I8B. Identify/reflect on lessons learned

I8C. Manage time and resources effectively to complete the investigation

Appendix C

Publications

During the project the author team published preliminary results in a set of papers:

Dawe, N., Lesmond, G., McCahan, S., & Romkey, L. (2015). *Development of Analytic Rubrics for Competency Assessment*. Paper presented at the Canadian Engineering Education Association Annual Conference, McMaster University, May 31–June 3, 2015. Hamilton, ON.

Dawe, N., Romkey, L., McCahan, S., Lesmond, G. (2016). *User Testing with Assessors to Develop Universal Rubric Rows for Assessing Engineering Design*. Paper presented at the American Society for Engineering Education Annual Conference, June 26–29, 2016. New Orleans, LA.

Lesmond, G., Dawe, N., McCahan, S., & Romkey, L. (2016). *Update on the Development of Analytic Rubrics for Competency Assessment*. Paper presented at the Canadian Engineering Education Association Annual Conference, Dalhousie University, June 19–22 June 2016). Halifax, NS.

Lesmond, G., Dawe, N., McCahan, S., & Romkey, L. (2016). *Using a Delphi Approach to Develop Criteria for an Analytic, Competency-Based Rubric*. Paper presented at the American Society for Engineering Education Annual Conference, June 26–29, 2016. New Orleans, LA.



Higher Education
Quality Council
of Ontario

An agency of the Government of Ontario